

'Judicial review key to India's democracy'

Legality, constitutionality key to government authority.

Press Trust Of India

NEW DELHI: Chief Justice of India Surya Kant has said judicial review should be viewed not as judicial supremacy but as a constitutional responsibility designed to preserve the rule of law whenever constitutional institutions fail to act within their prescribed limits.

"It would be no exaggeration to claim that this expansive power of review is a cornerstone of India's constitutional democracy, affirming that legality and constitutional preconditions to the exercise of governmental authority," he said.

The Chief Justice of India (CJI) made the remarks on Monday at the International Institute for Democracy and Electoral Assistance (IDEA) conference in Stockholm. The theme of the conference was "Safe-guarding the Rule of Law - Experiences from India



IDEA
EN APOY DEMOCRACY TODAY

and Sweden".

Justice Kant also underscored the centrality of the rule of law, judicial independence and constitutional democracy

in safeguarding individual liberties, asserting that judicial review is not merely a power but a constitutional duty entrusted to the courts.

"The rule of law is neither a welfare scheme nor an economic policy. It does not, by itself, bake bread, create livelihoods or remove poverty. What it does is something more fundamental, though less immediately visible: it disciplines the exercise of power.

Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

within legal institutions, addressed workplace sexual harassment and expanded reproductive rights. While emphasising the judiciary's proactive role in protecting constitutional values, Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

within legal institutions, addressed workplace sexual harassment and expanded reproductive rights. While emphasising the judiciary's proactive role in protecting constitutional values, Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

within legal institutions, addressed workplace sexual harassment and expanded reproductive rights. While emphasising the judiciary's proactive role in protecting constitutional values, Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

within legal institutions, addressed workplace sexual harassment and expanded reproductive rights. While emphasising the judiciary's proactive role in protecting constitutional values, Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

within legal institutions, addressed workplace sexual harassment and expanded reproductive rights. While emphasising the judiciary's proactive role in protecting constitutional values, Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

within legal institutions, addressed workplace sexual harassment and expanded reproductive rights. While emphasising the judiciary's proactive role in protecting constitutional values, Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

within legal institutions, addressed workplace sexual harassment and expanded reproductive rights. While emphasising the judiciary's proactive role in protecting constitutional values, Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

within legal institutions, addressed workplace sexual harassment and expanded reproductive rights. While emphasising the judiciary's proactive role in protecting constitutional values, Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

within legal institutions, addressed workplace sexual harassment and expanded reproductive rights. While emphasising the judiciary's proactive role in protecting constitutional values, Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

within legal institutions, addressed workplace sexual harassment and expanded reproductive rights. While emphasising the judiciary's proactive role in protecting constitutional values, Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

within legal institutions, addressed workplace sexual harassment and expanded reproductive rights. While emphasising the judiciary's proactive role in protecting constitutional values, Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

within legal institutions, addressed workplace sexual harassment and expanded reproductive rights. While emphasising the judiciary's proactive role in protecting constitutional values, Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

within legal institutions, addressed workplace sexual harassment and expanded reproductive rights. While emphasising the judiciary's proactive role in protecting constitutional values, Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

within legal institutions, addressed workplace sexual harassment and expanded reproductive rights. While emphasising the judiciary's proactive role in protecting constitutional values, Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

within legal institutions, addressed workplace sexual harassment and expanded reproductive rights. While emphasising the judiciary's proactive role in protecting constitutional values, Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

within legal institutions, addressed workplace sexual harassment and expanded reproductive rights. While emphasising the judiciary's proactive role in protecting constitutional values, Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

within legal institutions, addressed workplace sexual harassment and expanded reproductive rights. While emphasising the judiciary's proactive role in protecting constitutional values, Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

within legal institutions, addressed workplace sexual harassment and expanded reproductive rights. While emphasising the judiciary's proactive role in protecting constitutional values, Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

within legal institutions, addressed workplace sexual harassment and expanded reproductive rights. While emphasising the judiciary's proactive role in protecting constitutional values, Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

within legal institutions, addressed workplace sexual harassment and expanded reproductive rights. While emphasising the judiciary's proactive role in protecting constitutional values, Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

within legal institutions, addressed workplace sexual harassment and expanded reproductive rights. While emphasising the judiciary's proactive role in protecting constitutional values, Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

within legal institutions, addressed workplace sexual harassment and expanded reproductive rights. While emphasising the judiciary's proactive role in protecting constitutional values, Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

within legal institutions, addressed workplace sexual harassment and expanded reproductive rights. While emphasising the judiciary's proactive role in protecting constitutional values, Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

within legal institutions, addressed workplace sexual harassment and expanded reproductive rights. While emphasising the judiciary's proactive role in protecting constitutional values, Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

within legal institutions, addressed workplace sexual harassment and expanded reproductive rights. While emphasising the judiciary's proactive role in protecting constitutional values, Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

within legal institutions, addressed workplace sexual harassment and expanded reproductive rights. While emphasising the judiciary's proactive role in protecting constitutional values, Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

within legal institutions, addressed workplace sexual harassment and expanded reproductive rights. While emphasising the judiciary's proactive role in protecting constitutional values, Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

in safeguarding individual liberties, asserting that judicial review is not merely a power but a constitutional duty entrusted to the courts.

"The rule of law is neither a welfare scheme nor an economic policy. It does not, by itself, bake bread, create livelihoods or remove poverty. What it does is something more fundamental, though less immediately visible: it disciplines the exercise of power.

Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

within legal institutions, addressed workplace sexual harassment and expanded reproductive rights. While emphasising the judiciary's proactive role in protecting constitutional values, Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

within legal institutions, addressed workplace sexual harassment and expanded reproductive rights. While emphasising the judiciary's proactive role in protecting constitutional values, Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

within legal institutions, addressed workplace sexual harassment and expanded reproductive rights. While emphasising the judiciary's proactive role in protecting constitutional values, Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

within legal institutions, addressed workplace sexual harassment and expanded reproductive rights. While emphasising the judiciary's proactive role in protecting constitutional values, Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

within legal institutions, addressed workplace sexual harassment and expanded reproductive rights. While emphasising the judiciary's proactive role in protecting constitutional values, Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

within legal institutions, addressed workplace sexual harassment and expanded reproductive rights. While emphasising the judiciary's proactive role in protecting constitutional values, Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

within legal institutions, addressed workplace sexual harassment and expanded reproductive rights. While emphasising the judiciary's proactive role in protecting constitutional values, Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

within legal institutions, addressed workplace sexual harassment and expanded reproductive rights. While emphasising the judiciary's proactive role in protecting constitutional values, Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

within legal institutions, addressed workplace sexual harassment and expanded reproductive rights. While emphasising the judiciary's proactive role in protecting constitutional values, Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

within legal institutions, addressed workplace sexual harassment and expanded reproductive rights. While emphasising the judiciary's proactive role in protecting constitutional values, Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

within legal institutions, addressed workplace sexual harassment and expanded reproductive rights. While emphasising the judiciary's proactive role in protecting constitutional values, Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

within legal institutions, addressed workplace sexual harassment and expanded reproductive rights. While emphasising the judiciary's proactive role in protecting constitutional values, Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

within legal institutions, addressed workplace sexual harassment and expanded reproductive rights. While emphasising the judiciary's proactive role in protecting constitutional values, Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

within legal institutions, addressed workplace sexual harassment and expanded reproductive rights. While emphasising the judiciary's proactive role in protecting constitutional values, Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

within legal institutions, addressed workplace sexual harassment and expanded reproductive rights. While emphasising the judiciary's proactive role in protecting constitutional values, Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

within legal institutions, addressed workplace sexual harassment and expanded reproductive rights. While emphasising the judiciary's proactive role in protecting constitutional values, Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

within legal institutions, addressed workplace sexual harassment and expanded reproductive rights. While emphasising the judiciary's proactive role in protecting constitutional values, Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

within legal institutions, addressed workplace sexual harassment and expanded reproductive rights. While emphasising the judiciary's proactive role in protecting constitutional values, Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

within legal institutions, addressed workplace sexual harassment and expanded reproductive rights. While emphasising the judiciary's proactive role in protecting constitutional values, Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

within legal institutions, addressed workplace sexual harassment and expanded reproductive rights. While emphasising the judiciary's proactive role in protecting constitutional values, Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

within legal institutions, addressed workplace sexual harassment and expanded reproductive rights. While emphasising the judiciary's proactive role in protecting constitutional values, Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

within legal institutions, addressed workplace sexual harassment and expanded reproductive rights. While emphasising the judiciary's proactive role in protecting constitutional values, Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

within legal institutions, addressed workplace sexual harassment and expanded reproductive rights. While emphasising the judiciary's proactive role in protecting constitutional values, Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

within legal institutions, addressed workplace sexual harassment and expanded reproductive rights. While emphasising the judiciary's proactive role in protecting constitutional values, Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

within legal institutions, addressed workplace sexual harassment and expanded reproductive rights. While emphasising the judiciary's proactive role in protecting constitutional values, Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

within legal institutions, addressed workplace sexual harassment and expanded reproductive rights. While emphasising the judiciary's proactive role in protecting constitutional values, Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

within legal institutions, addressed workplace sexual harassment and expanded reproductive rights. While emphasising the judiciary's proactive role in protecting constitutional values, Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

within legal institutions, addressed workplace sexual harassment and expanded reproductive rights. While emphasising the judiciary's proactive role in protecting constitutional values, Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

within legal institutions, addressed workplace sexual harassment and expanded reproductive rights. While emphasising the judiciary's proactive role in protecting constitutional values, Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

within legal institutions, addressed workplace sexual harassment and expanded reproductive rights. While emphasising the judiciary's proactive role in protecting constitutional values, Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

within legal institutions, addressed workplace sexual harassment and expanded reproductive rights. While emphasising the judiciary's proactive role in protecting constitutional values, Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

within legal institutions, addressed workplace sexual harassment and expanded reproductive rights. While emphasising the judiciary's proactive role in protecting constitutional values, Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

within legal institutions, addressed workplace sexual harassment and expanded reproductive rights. While emphasising the judiciary's proactive role in protecting constitutional values, Justice Kant stressed that judicial activism must be accompanied by institutional restraint.

National Conference Jagannath Temple closed for Anasar ritual

JAIPUR: Rajasthan Chief Minister Bhajan Lal Sharma will inaugurate the 29th National Conference on e-Governance in Jaipur on Wednesday. The two-day conference with a focus on the theme "Viksit Bharat 2047: AI-enabled, Data-driven and Secure Digital Governance" is being organised by the Department of Administrative Reforms and Public Grievances and the Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology in collaboration with the Rajasthan government. Chief Minister Sharma will inaugurate the conference, which will bring together policymakers, government officials, industry experts and academicians to discuss the role of emerging technologies.

Press Trust Of India

Divine trinity had fallen 'sick' and gone into isolation.

PURI: Lord Jagannath and his sibling deities had a massive ceremonial bath a day ago. This kicked off the "Anasar" ritual on Tuesday as the divine trinity had fallen 'sick' and gone into isolation, requiring the 12th-century temple to remain closed for a fortnight.

The portals of the Shree Jagannath Temple will open a day before the Rath Yatra, which is on July 16 this year. A day before the Rath Yatra, the repainted idols are presented for public viewing at the sanctum sanctorum.

FASTFACTS

● Temple gates open a day before Rath Yatra.

● 50+ CCTVs installed for crowd monitoring.

According to the temple tradition, Lord Jagannath, Lord Balabhadra, and Devi Subhadra devoted fever after the 'Snau Yatra', during which they are bathed with 108 pitchers of holy water.

is a secret, devotees are not allowed to have a glimpse of the deities," said Pandit Suryanarayan Rath Sharma, a religious scholar.

He said that during this period, deities are not offered their regular 'Mahaprasad' and are presented only fruits, cheese and Ayurvedic 'lados'. "The deities are treated like human beings and recover from 'illness' during the 14-day isolation of the Anasar period," Rath Sharma said. When the sibling deities in Puri remain in isolation, devotees get a darshan at the Alarnath Temple in Brahmagiri, about 25 kilometres from Puri.

Arunachal flood rises to four

Press Trust Of India

Two missing as rains, floods, landslides batter state.

ITANAGAR: The flood situation worsened in Arunachal Pradesh, as one more person died, taking the toll to four, while 21 others were injured in the state, where 90,499 people were affected in almost all 28 districts, the authorities said on Tuesday.

Two persons also remained missing as heavy rain, causing flash floods and landslides, battered the state over the past seven days, damaging houses, roads, bridges and public infrastructure, a report of the State Emergency Operation Centre (SEOC) said.

Chief Minister Pema Khandu, and Union ministers Shivraj Singh Chouhan and Kiren Rijiju undertook an aerial and ground assessment of the flood-ravaged Keyi Panyor district during the day. The development came a day after Union Home Minister Amit Shah spoke to Khandu over the phone to take stock of the flood situation across the state. On Monday, the

deluge affected at least 12 districts. According to the SEOC report, around 90,499 people in 251 villages under 202 circles of the northeastern state have been affected since June 24. Upper Siang district reported the highest number of affected people at 47,357, followed by Siang (23,715), Kru Daadi (8,171) and East Kameng (5,895).

NAME CHANGE

It is informed to the general public that I. PRIYA SANJEEV GUPTA D/O CHANDRA KISHORE PATHAK, resident of 278, STATION ROAD, GAYATRI MANDIR WARD NO. -25, DURG (C.G.) have changed my old name PRIYA SANJEEV GUPTA D/O CHANDRA KISHORE

PATHAK, so in future I should be recognized by my new name that is PRIYA GUPTA W/O SANJEEV GUPTA in all Government and other documents.

PRIYA GUPTA

278, Station road, gayatri mandir, ward no.-25, Durg, C.G.

NAME CORRECTION

It is informed to the general public that I DHARMVIR GUPTA S/O ANANT GUPTA. Age - 40 years, Tehseel - Residing at vill. - Larghuta Balarampur, PO. - Tatapani, Dist. Balarampur (C.G.) Pin - 497220 hereby declare that my name and my father's name has been incorrectly mentioned as DHARMVIR GUPTA S/O ANANT PRASAD GUPTA in my 10th mark sheet. The correct name should be DHARMVIR GUPTA S/O ANANT GUPTA. All concerned authorities and individuals are requested to take note.

DHARMVIR GUPTA

S/O ANANT GUPTA vill. - Larghuta Tehseel - Balarampur, P.O. - Tatapani, Dist. Balarampur (C.G.) Pin - 497220

NAME CORRECTION

It is informed to the general public that I DHARMVIR GUPTA S/O ANANT GUPTA. Age - 40 years, Tehseel - Residing at vill. - Larghuta Balarampur, PO. - Tatapani, Dist. Balarampur (C.G.) Pin - 497220 hereby declare that my name and my father's name has been incorrectly mentioned as DHARMVIR GUPTA S/O ANANT PRASAD GUPTA in my 10th mark sheet. The correct name should be DHARMVIR GUPTA S/O ANANT GUPTA. All concerned authorities and individuals are requested to take note.

DHARMVIR GUPTA

S/O ANANT GUPTA vill. - Larghuta Tehseel - Balarampur, P.O. - Tatapani, Dist. Balarampur (C.G.) Pin - 497220

NAME CORRECTION

It is informed to the general public that I DHARMVIR GUPTA S/O ANANT GUPTA. Age - 40 years, Tehseel - Residing at vill. - Larghuta Balarampur, PO. - Tatapani, Dist. Balarampur (C.G.) Pin - 497220 hereby declare that my name and my father's name has been incorrectly mentioned as DHARMVIR GUPTA S/O ANANT PRASAD GUPTA in my 10th mark sheet. The correct name should be DHARMVIR GUPTA S/O ANANT GUPTA. All concerned authorities and individuals are requested to take note.

DHARMVIR GUPTA

S/O ANANT GUPTA vill. - Larghuta Tehseel - Balarampur, P.O. - Tatapani, Dist. Balarampur (C.G.) Pin - 497220

NAME CORRECTION

It is informed to the general public that I DHARMVIR GUPTA S/O ANANT GUPTA. Age - 40 years, Tehseel - Residing at vill. - Larghuta Balarampur, PO. - Tatapani, Dist. Balarampur (C.G.) Pin - 497220 hereby declare that my name and my father's name has been incorrectly mentioned as DHARMVIR GUPTA S/O ANANT PRASAD GUPTA in my 10th mark sheet. The correct name should be DHARMVIR GUPTA S/O ANANT GUPTA. All concerned authorities and individuals are requested to take note.

DHARMVIR GUPTA

S/O ANANT GUPTA vill. - Larghuta Tehseel - Balarampur, P.O. - Tatapani, Dist. Balarampur (C.G.) Pin - 497220

FORM NO. IV
[See sub-rule (2A) of rule 5]
19 of the Act, read with Sub Rule 5 of the Debt Recovery Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1993.

BEFORE DEBTS RECOVERY TRIBUNAL, JALAPUR

2nd & 3rd Floor, Sanchar Vikas Bhavan (BSNL Building), Near Head Post Office, Station Road, Jalapur (M.P.) 482001
Case No. OA No. 785/2025
State Bank of India

VERSUS
Sushil Kumar Shukla and others: DEFENDANTS

1. Sushil Kumar Shukla
S/O Sanjay Kumar Shukla
Also R/o House No. 244, Sector-3, Street 8, Gaseky Township, Village Siltara, Dharwad, Raipur, District: Raipur (C.G.)

2. Sushil Kumar Shukla
S/O Sanjay Kumar Shukla
Also R/o House No. 244, Sector-3, Street 8, Gaseky Township, Village Siltara, Dharwad, Raipur, District: Raipur (C.G.)

Whereas the Plaintiff has filed an Application under sub-section (4) of section 19 of the Act, the defendants are directed under:

1. To show cause within thirty days of the service of summons as to why relief prayed for should not be granted.

2. To disclose particulars of properties and assets of such persons and assets specified by the applicant.

3. To show cause within thirty days of the service of summons as to why relief prayed for should not be granted.

4. To disclose particulars of properties and assets of such persons and assets specified by the applicant.

5. To show cause within thirty days of the service of summons as to why relief prayed for should not be granted.

6. To disclose particulars of properties and assets of such persons and assets specified by the applicant.

7. To show cause within thirty days of the service of summons as to why relief prayed for should not be granted.

8. To disclose particulars of properties and assets of such persons and assets specified by the applicant.

9. To show cause within thirty days of the service of summons as to why relief prayed for should not be granted.

10. To disclose particulars of properties and assets of such persons and assets specified by the applicant.

11. To show cause within thirty days of the service of summons as to why relief prayed for should not be granted.

12. To disclose particulars of properties and assets of such persons and assets specified by the applicant.

13. To show cause within thirty days of the service of summons as to why relief prayed for should not be granted.

14. To disclose particulars of properties and assets of such persons and assets specified by the applicant.

15. To show cause within thirty days of the service of summons as to why relief prayed for should not be granted.

16. To disclose particulars of properties and assets of such persons and assets specified by the applicant.

17. To show cause within thirty days of the service of summons as to why relief prayed for should not be granted.

18. To disclose particulars of properties and assets of such persons and assets specified by the applicant.

19. To show cause within thirty days of the service of summons as to why relief prayed for should not be granted.

20. To disclose particulars of properties and assets of such persons and assets specified by the applicant.

21. To show cause within thirty days of the service of summons as to why relief prayed for should not be granted.

FORM NO. IV
[See Regulation 33(2)]
OFFICE OF THE RECOVERY OFFICER-I/II
BEFORE DEBTS RECOVERY TRIBUNAL, JALAPUR

2nd & 3rd Floor, Sanchar Vikas Bhavan (BSNL Building), Near Head Post Office, Station Road, Jalapur (M.P.) 482001
Case No. OA No. 785/2025
State Bank of India

VERSUS
Sushil Kumar Shukla and others: DEFENDANTS

1. Sushil Kumar Shukla
S/O Sanjay Kumar Shukla
Also R/o House No. 244, Sector-3, Street 8, Gaseky Township, Village Siltara, Dharwad, Raipur, District: Raipur (C.G.)

2. Sushil Kumar Shukla
S/O Sanjay Kumar Shukla
Also R/o House No. 244, Sector-3, Street 8, Gaseky Township, Village Siltara, Dharwad, Raipur, District: Raipur (C.G.)

Whereas the Plaintiff has filed an Application under sub-section (4) of section 19 of the Act, the defendants are directed under:

1. To show cause within thirty days of the service of summons as to why relief prayed for should not be granted.

2. To disclose particulars of properties and assets of such persons and assets specified by the applicant.

3. To show cause within thirty days of the service of summons as to why relief prayed for should not be granted.

4. To disclose particulars of properties and assets of such persons and assets specified by the applicant.

5. To show cause within thirty days of the service of summons as to why relief prayed for should not be granted.

6. To disclose particulars of properties and assets of such persons and assets specified by the applicant.

7. To show cause within thirty days of the service of summons as to why relief prayed for should not be granted.

8. To disclose particulars of properties and assets of such persons and assets specified by the applicant.

9. To show cause within thirty days of the service of summons as to why relief prayed for should not be granted.

10. To disclose particulars of properties and assets of such persons and assets specified by the applicant.

11. To show cause within thirty days of the service of summons as to why relief prayed for should not be granted.

12. To disclose particulars of properties and assets of such persons and assets specified by the applicant.

13. To show cause within thirty days of the service of summons as to why relief prayed for should not be granted.

14. To disclose particulars of properties and assets of such persons and assets specified by the applicant.

15. To show cause within thirty days of the service of summons as to why relief prayed for should not be granted.

16. To disclose particulars of properties and assets of such persons and assets specified by the applicant.

17. To show cause within thirty days of the service of summons as to why relief prayed for should not be granted.

18. To disclose particulars of properties and assets of such persons and assets specified by the applicant.

19. To show cause within thirty days of the service of summons as to why relief prayed for should not be granted.

20. To disclose particulars of properties and assets of such persons and assets specified by the applicant.

21. To show cause within thirty days of the service of summons as to why relief prayed for should not be granted.